

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUL 20 2007

REMARKSI. Introduction

In response to the Office Action dated July 6, 2006, claims 1, 4, 5, 7 and 11 have been amended and claims 9, 20, 22 and 23 have been cancelled. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 7-8 and 11-18 remain in the application. It is not the Applicant's intent to surrender any equivalents because of the amendments or arguments presented herein. Re-examination and re-consideration of the application, as amended, is requested.

II. Claim Amendments

Applicant has made amendments to claims 1, 5, 7 and 11 as indicated above in accordance with the Examiner's comments regarding enabled subject matter. Claim 4 was also amended to make the language between claims 1 and 4 more consistent. The amendments to the claims and new claims are fully supported by the specification as filed and introduce no new matter.

III. Claim Objections

In response to the Examiner's objections to claims 11, 22 and 23, Applicant has amended claim 11 in accordance with the Examiner's comments and cancelled claims 22 and 23. Applicants respectfully request a withdrawal of this objection.

IV. Non-Art Rejections

A. REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §112, FIRST PARAGRAPH.

On pages 3-7 of the Office Action, claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-9 and 11-18, 20, 22 and 23 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph. In this rejection, the Examiner asserted that the specification did not enable any person skilled in the art to which the invention pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention as broadly as it is claimed.

In response to this rejection, claims were either amended or cancelled hereinabove so that the remaining pending claims recite that subject identified by the Examiner in the outstanding office

action as enabled and in compliance with 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph. These amendments, with traverse, and without acquiescent to the rejections, renders these rejections moot. For this reason, Applicant respectfully requests a withdrawal of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

B. REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §112, SECOND PARAGRAPH.

JUL 20 2007

On page 5 of the Office Action, claim 5 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the Applicant regards as the invention.

In response to this rejection, claim 5 has been amended hereinabove in accordance with the Examiner's suggestion. These amendments with traverse and without acquiescent to the rejections renders these rejections moot. For this reason, Applicant respectfully requests a withdrawal of the rejection to claim 5 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph.

V. Conclusion

In view of the above, it is submitted that this application is now in good order for allowance and such allowance is respectfully solicited. Should the Examiner believe minor matters still remain that can be resolved in a telephone interview, the Examiner is urged to call Applicant's undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

GATES & COOPER LLP
Attorneys for Applicant(s)

Howard Hughes Center
6701 Center Drive West, Suite 1050
Los Angeles, California 90045
(310) 641-8797

By: 
Name: William J. Wood
Reg. No.: 42,236

Date: July 20, 2007

WJW/kmk

G&C 30435.145-US-WO